International Journal of Modern Communication Technologies & Research (IJMCTR)
ISSN: 2321-0850, Volume-5, Issue-9, September 2017

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

Lei Ma, Wei Wang, Lei Ma

***Abstract*— In this paper ,we deal with the initial boundary value problems for higher -order kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly dissipation:**

At first ,we prove the local existence and uniqueness of the solution by Galerkin methodthen and contracting mapping principle .Furthermore,we prove the global existence of solution , At last,we consider that blow up of solution in finite time under suitable condition .

***Index Terms*— Higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff wave equation;local existence; The existence and uniqueness; blow-up**

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we concerned with global existence and blow-up of solution for the following for Higher-order Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly dissipation :

$$u_{tt}+(-\Delta)^m u_t+\phi(\|\nabla^m u\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u+h(u_t)=f(u) \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x,t)=0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial v^i}=0, \quad i=1,2\cdots,m-1, x\in\partial\Omega, t>0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x,0)=u_0, \quad u_t=u_1(x), \quad x\in\partial\Omega \quad (1.3)$$

Where $\Omega\subset R^2$ is bounded open domain with smooth boundary; v is the outer norm vector; $m>1$ is a positive integer, $\phi(r)$ is a nonnegative locally Lipschitz, $h(u_t)$ is a nonlinear forcing, $f(u)$ is a nonlinear C^1-function, $(-\Delta)^m u_t$ is a strongly dissipation.

There have been many researches on the global and blow-up solution for Kirchhoff equation.we can see[1-8].

Kosuke Ono [9] deals with the higher-order kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear dissipation:

$$u_{tt}+M(\|\nabla u\|^2)(-\Delta)u+|u_t|^\beta u_t=f(u), \quad x\in\Omega, \quad t>0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), \ u_t(x,0)=u_1(x), \ and \ u(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega}=0 \quad (1.5)$$

Lei Ma, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091 People's Republic of China
Wei Wang, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091 People's Republic of China
Lei Ma, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091 People's Republic of China

In where Ω is a bounded domain in R^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $M(r)$ is a nonnegative C^1-function for $r\ge 0$ positive satisfying $M(\|\nabla u\|^2)=a+b\|\nabla u\|^{2\gamma}$ with $a,b\ge 0, a+b>0$ and $\gamma\ge 1,$ and $f(u)$ constants is nonlinear C^1 -function satisfying $|f(u)|\le k_1|u|^{\alpha+1}$ and $|f'(u)|\le k_2|u|^\alpha$ with $k_1,k_2>0$ and $\alpha>0$ .he investigate on global existence and blow up of solution .

Yaojun Ye [10] also studied the initial-boundary value problem for a class of nonlinear higher-order kirchhoff-type equation with dissipation term

$$u_{tt}+\left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\right\|^{2p}Au+a|u_t|^{q-2}u_t=b|u|^{r-2}u, \quad x\in\Omega, t>0, \quad (1.6)$$

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0)=u_1(x), \quad x\in\Omega. \quad (1.7)$$

$$u(x,t)=0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u(x,t)}{\partial v^i}=0, \quad i=1,2,\cdots,m-1, \quad x\in\partial\Omega, t\ge 0. \quad (1.8)$$

In a bounded domain,where $A=(-\Delta)^m$, $m>1$ is a positive integer , $a,b,p>0$ and $q,r>2$ are constants ,he obtains the global existence of solutions by construction a stable set in $H_0^m(\Omega)$ and show the energy decay estimate by applying a lemma of V.Komornik.

Takeshi Taniguchi [11] considered the existence of local solution to a weakly damped wave equation of equation of kirchhoff type the damped term and the source term

$$u_{tt}(t)-M(\|u(t)\|^2)\Delta u(t)-\gamma_2 u_t(t)+|u_t(t)|^p u_t(t)=|u(t)|^q u(t) \quad (1.9)$$

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), \ u_t(x,0)=u_1(x), \text{and } u(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega}=0, \ p,q,\gamma_2>0 \quad (1.10)$$

with an initial value $u(0)=u_0, \ u_t(0)=u_1$ and the Dirichlet boundary condition $u(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega}=0,$ where Ω is an open bounded domain in R^n with smooth boundary and $M(r)$ is a locally Lipschitz function ,he discuss the global existence and exponential asymptotic behavior of solution.

Recently,Gongwei Liu[[12] concerned with the study of damped wave equation of kirchhoff type

$$u_{tt}-M(\|\nabla u\|_2^2)\Delta u+u_t=g(u). \quad (1.11)$$

in $\Omega\times(0,\infty)$, with initial and Dirichlet boundary condition.where Ω is the bound of R^2 have a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ .under the assumption that g is a function with exponential growth at infinity ,he proves global existence and the decay property as well as blow-up of solutions in finite time under suitable conditions.

The paper is arranged as follows.in section 2,we state some preliminaries and some lemma ; in section 3,we obtain the existence and uniqueness of the local solution by the Banach contraction mapping principle ;in section 4,we discuss global existence and nonexistence results ;in section 5,we discuss the blow-up properties of solution for positive and negative initial energy and estimate for blow-up time T^*.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper ,for convenience,we denote the norm and scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$ by $\|.\|$ and (.,.); we use the next notations

$$(u,v)=\int_\Omega uvdx,$$

$$\|u\|^2=\int_\Omega |u|^2dx,$$

$$\|u\|_r=(\int_\Omega |u|^r dx)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

where $C_i(i=0\cdots 12)$, are constants,

In this section, we present some materials needed in the proof of our results, we assume that

there exists some constants

(G_1) $\quad |f(u)|\le k_1|u|+k_2|u|^{r+1},\ k_1,k_2,r>0.$

(G_2) there exist some constants

(1) $h(s)s\ge 0,\ s\in R$,

(2) $\|h(u_t)\|\le C_0(1+\|\nabla^m u_t\|)^{1-\sigma},\ 0<\sigma<1.$

(3) $|h(u_t)|\le k_3|u_t|^{p+1},\ k_3,p\ge 0.$

(G_3) there exist a constant $\beta>0$, such that $f(u)u\ge(2+4\beta)G(s)$ for all $s\in R$.

In this paper ,we will use the next well-know Lemmas

Lemma2.1[10] Let s be a number with $2\le s<+\infty, n\le 2m$ and $2\le s\le\frac{2n}{n-2m}$ .then there is a constant C depending on Ω and s such that

$$\|u\|_s\le\kappa\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}}\right\|,\quad \forall u\in H_0^m(\Omega) \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma2.2[13](young inequality)Let a,b and μ are positive constants,such that

satisfy $0\le r<\frac{2m}{n-2m}$ we have

$$ab\le\frac{\mu^p}{p}a^p+\frac{1}{q\mu^q}b^q\quad(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1,\ p>1,q>1) \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma2.3[14] Suppose that $\delta>0$ and $B(t)$ is a nonnegative $C^2(0,+\infty)$ function such that

$$B''(t)-4(\delta+1)B'(t)+4(\delta+1)B(t)\ge 0. \tag{2.3}$$

if

$$B'(0)>r_2B(0)+K_0 \tag{2.4}$$

Then we have $\forall t>0, B'(t)>K_0$,where K_0 is a constant , $r_2=2(\delta+1)-2\sqrt{(\delta+1)\delta}$ is smaller root of the equation

$$r^2-4(\delta+1)r+4(\delta+1)=0 \tag{2.5}$$

Lemma2.4[14]If $J(t)$ is a non-increasing function on $[t_0,+\infty), t_0\ge 0$ such that

$$J'(t)^2\ge a+bJ(t)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}},\qquad \forall t_0\ge 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $a>0, b\in R.$ Then there exists a finite time T^* such that

$$\lim_{t\to T^*}J(t)=0. \tag{2.7}$$

And the case that

(i) If $b>0$, $J(t_0)<\min\{1,\sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}\}$,then

$$T^*\le t_0+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-b}}\ln\frac{\sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}}{\sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}-J(t_0)}; \tag{2.8}$$

(ii) If $b=0$,then $T^*\le t_0+\frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{a}}$. (2.9)

(iii) If $b>0$, $T^*\le\frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{a}}$ or

$$T^*\le t_0+2^{\frac{3\delta+1}{2\delta}}\frac{\delta c}{\sqrt{a}}\{1-[1+cJ(t_0)]^{\frac{-1}{2\delta}}\} \tag{2.10}$$

III. EXISTENCE OF LOCAL SOLUTION

In this section ,we prove the existence of local solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3) for initial value $(u_0,u_1)\in H^m(\Omega)\cap H^{2m}(\Omega)\times H^m(\Omega)$

Theorem 3.1Suppose that $(G_1),(G_2)$ hold, for any give $(u_0,u_1)\in H^{2m}(\Omega)\cap H_0^m(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$

$$u(t)\in C([0,T_0];H_0^m(\Omega)\cap H^{2m}(\Omega))$$
$$u_t(t)\in C([0,T_0];L^2(\Omega)\cap H^m(\Omega))$$

Proof we set for any $T>0$,the Banach space

$$X_{T,R}=\begin{Bmatrix} v(t)\in C([0,T];H^{2m}\bigcap H_0^m), \\ v_t(t)\in C([0,T];L^2\cap H_0^m), \\ \rho(v(t))\le R. \end{Bmatrix},$$

Where $\rho(v(t))=\|v_t\|^2+\|(-\Delta)^m v\|^2$. Define distance $\rho(u,v)=\sup\limits_{t\le 0\le T}\rho(u(t)-v(t))$.Then $X_{T,R}$ Is a complete metric space.

We define map the χ :, $v\mapsto \chi(v)=u.$ u is the unique solution of the following equation:

$$u_{tt}+(-\Delta)^m u_t+\phi(\|\nabla^m u\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u+h(u_t)=f(v) \tag{3.1}$$

$$u(x,t)=0,\quad \frac{\partial^i u(x,t)}{\partial v^i}=0,\quad i=1,2,\cdots,m-1,\ \ x\in\partial\Omega,t\ge 0. \tag{3.2}$$

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x),\ u_t(x,0)=u_1(x),\ x\in\Omega. \tag{3.3}$$

we define map the $T>0$ and $R>0$,such that

(1) χ maps $X_{T,R}$ into itself;

(2) χ is a contraction mapping with respect to the metric $d(.,.)$.

Step 1.we will show that χ maps $X_{T,R}$ into itself, we multiply $u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u$ with both sides of equation (3.4).and obtain

$$(u_{tt}+(-\Delta)^m u_t+\phi(\|\nabla^m u\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u+h(u_t),u_t+(-\Delta)^m u)=(f(v),u_t+(-\Delta)^m u). \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(u_{tt},u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u)\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u_t\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon d}{dt}(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u)-\varepsilon\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&((-\Delta)^m u_t,u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u)\\ &=\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u,u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u)\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2)\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\phi\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{d}{dt}(\phi\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2\right|\\ &\le\|\phi'(\xi)\|_\infty\|(-\Delta)^m v\|\|v_t\|\|\nabla^m u\|^2\\ &\le LR\|\nabla^m u\|^2\\ &\le\frac{LR}{\lambda^m}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2\\ &\le\kappa_0\rho(u(t))\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

$$(\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u, u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u)$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2)$$
$$-\kappa_0\rho(u(t))+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \tag{3.9}$$

$$(h(u_t), u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u)$$
$$=(h(u_t),u_t)+\varepsilon(h(u_t),(-\Delta)^m u) \tag{3.10}$$

According to young inequality and G_2 ,such that

$$(h(u_t),\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u)\geq -\frac{\varepsilon\|h(u_t)\|^2}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2}{2} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\|h(u_t)\|^2$$
$$\leq C_0(1+\|\nabla^m u_t\|)^2$$
$$\leq 2C_0+2C_0\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 \tag{3.12}$$

$$(h(u_t),(-\Delta)^m u)$$
$$\geq -C_0-C_0\varepsilon\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \tag{3.13}$$

According to Lemma2.1 and (G_1) ,such that

$$(f(v),u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m)$$
$$\leq \int_\Omega (k_1|v|+k_2|v|^{r+1})u_t dx+\varepsilon\int_\Omega (k_1|v|+k_2|v|^{r+1})(-\Delta)^m u dx$$
$$\leq (k_1\|v\|+k_2\|v\|_{2r+2}^{r+1})\|u_t\|+\varepsilon(k_1\|v\|+k_2\|v\|_{2r+2}^{r+1})\|(-\Delta)^m u\|$$
$$\leq (\frac{k_1\sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}}+k_2\kappa R^{r+1})\|u_t\|+(\frac{\varepsilon k_1\sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}}+\varepsilon k_2\kappa R^{r+1})\|(-\Delta)^m u\| \tag{3.14}$$

We take $\alpha_0=\{\frac{k_1\sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}}+k_2\kappa R^{r+1},\frac{\varepsilon k_1\sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}}+\varepsilon k_2\kappa R^{r+1}\}$,such that

$$(f(v),u_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m)$$
$$\leq \alpha_0(\|u_t\|+\|(-\Delta)^m u\|)$$
$$\leq \alpha_0(\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.5)and (3.15),we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|u_t\|^2+2\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u)+\varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2+\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2\|\nabla^m u\|^2))$$
$$+\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2-\varepsilon\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2-C_0\varepsilon\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2$$
$$\leq \alpha_0(\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}}+\kappa_0\rho(u(t))+C_0 \tag{3.16}$$

$$\frac{dt}{d}(\frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2+\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u)+\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2\|\nabla^m u\|^2)+K_1)$$
$$+(1-\varepsilon-\frac{C_0\varepsilon}{2})\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2$$

$$\leq \alpha_0(\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \kappa_0\rho(u(t)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho(u(t)) + C_0 \tag{3.17}$$

We take $\rho_1(u(t)) = \|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1\\ &\geq \|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

$$2\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u) \geq 2\varepsilon\|u_t\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.18) and (3.19),we have

$$\|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1 \tag{3.20}$$

$$\geq (1-2\varepsilon)\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \tag{3.21}$$

We take $\alpha_1 = \min\{1-\varepsilon, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$,such that

$$\rho_1(u(t)) \geq \alpha_1(\|u_t\|^2 + \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2) \tag{3.22}$$

So

$$\rho_1(u(t)) \geq \alpha_1\rho(u(t)) \tag{3.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{dt}{d}(\|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t,(-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1) + \alpha_1\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2\\ &\leq \alpha_0(\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \kappa_0\rho(u(t)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho(u(t)) + C_0\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Where $\alpha_2 = 1-\varepsilon-\frac{\varepsilon C_0}{2}$ $C_0 \leq \frac{2\kappa_0 K_1}{\alpha_1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\rho_1(u(t)) + \alpha_2\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2\\ &\leq \frac{2\kappa_0}{\alpha_1}\rho_1(u(t)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho_1(u(t)) + \alpha_0(\rho_1(u))^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

So,we using Gronwall inequality,we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_1(u(t)) + \alpha_2\int_0^T\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 ds\\ &\leq \{\rho_1(u(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_0 T\}^2 \rho^{\frac{(4\kappa_0+\alpha_0\varepsilon)T}{2\alpha_1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Where $\rho_1(u(0)) = \|u_1\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_1,(-\Delta)^m u_0) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u_0\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v_0\|^2\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2) + 2K_1$

From we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho(u(t)) + \alpha_2\int_0^T\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 ds\\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1}\rho_1(u(t)) + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\int_0^T\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 ds\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \{\rho_1(u(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}+\alpha_0 T\}^2 \rho^{\frac{(4\kappa_0+\alpha_0\varepsilon)T}{2\alpha_1}}. \tag{3.27}$$

Therefore, we choose that parameters T ,and R ,we obtain

$$\{\rho_1(u(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}+\alpha_0 T\}^2 \rho^{\frac{(4\kappa_0+\alpha_0\varepsilon)T}{2\alpha_1}} \leq R^2. \tag{3.28}$$

So ,we finishes the proof of the first step.

Step 2 we will show that χ is a contraction in $X_{T,R}$,let v_1, $v_2 \in X_{T,R}$,such that $\chi(v_1)=u_1$ $\chi(v_2)=u_2$,Setting $w=u_1-u_2$,then we have

$$w_{tt}+(-\Delta)^m w_t+\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)(-\Delta^m)u_1-\phi(\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta^m u_2)+h(u_{t1})-h(u_{t2})=f(v_1)-f(v_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(w_{tt},w_t+(-\Delta)^m w)\\&=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|w_t\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon d}{dt}(w_t,(-\Delta)^m w)-\varepsilon\|\nabla^m w_t\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&((-\Delta)^m w_t,w_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w)\\&=\|\nabla^m w_t\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)(-\Delta^m)u_1-\phi(\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta^m)u_2,w_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w)\\
&=(\phi(\|v_1\|^2)(-\Delta)^m w,w_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w)\\
&+(\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u_2-\phi\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2(-\Delta)^m u_2,w_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w)\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2))\|\nabla^m w\|^2\\
&+(\phi^{'}(\xi)(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2-\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u_2,w_t)\\
&+(\phi^{'}(\xi)(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2-\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u_2,\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w)\\
&\geq\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2\\
&-\phi^{'}(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\int_\Omega\nabla^m v_1\nabla^m v_{1t}dx\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\|\phi^{'}(\xi)\|_\infty(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2-\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u_2,w_t)\\
&-\varepsilon\|\phi^{'}(\xi)\|_\infty(\|\nabla^m v_1\|+\|\nabla^m v_2\|)(\|\nabla^m v_1\|-\|\nabla^m v_2\|)\|(-\Delta)^m u_2\|\|(-\Delta)^m w\|\\
&\geq\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2-L_0\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2\\
&-\|\phi^{'}(\xi)\|_\infty(\|\nabla^m v_1\|+\|\nabla^m v_2\|)(\|\nabla^m v_1\|-\|\nabla^m v_2\|)\|(-\Delta)^m u_2\|\|w_t\|\\
&-\varepsilon\|\phi^{'}(\xi)\|_\infty(\|\nabla^m v_1\|+\|\nabla^m v_2\|)(\|\nabla^m v_1\|-\|\nabla^m v_2\|)\|(-\Delta)^m u_2\|\|(-\Delta)^m w\|\\
&\geq\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2-L_0\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2\\
&-L_1\|(-\Delta)^m(v_1-v_2)\|\|(-\Delta)^m u_2\|\|w_t\|-C\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2\\
&-L_2\|(-\Delta)^m(v_1-v_2)\|\|(-\Delta)^m u_2\|\|(-\Delta)^m w\|\\
&\geq\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$-L_3[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}}-L_0\rho(w(t)) \qquad (3.31)$$

From G_2 .we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(h(u_{t1})-h(u_{t2}),w_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w)\\ &\le L_4\|w_t\|^2+L_5\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2\\ &\le \beta_0\rho(w(t))\end{aligned} \qquad (3.32)$$

Where $\beta_0=\max\{L_4,L_5\}$.

From G_1 and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(f(v_1)-f(v_2),w_t+\varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w)\\ &\le \int_\Omega k_1(|v_1|-|v_2|)|w_t|+k_2(|v_1|^{r+1}-|v_2|^{r+1})|w_t|dx\\ &+\int_\Omega k_1(|v_1|-|v_2|)|w_t|+k_2(|v_1|^{r+1}-|v_2|^{r+1})|(-\Delta)^m w|dx\\ &\le (k_1+k_2(\|v_1\|_{nr}^r+\|v_2\|_{nr}^r)\|v_1-v_2\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|\\ &+(k_1+k_2(\|v_1\|_{nr}^r+\|v_2\|_{nr}^r))\|v_1-v_2\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\|w_t\|\\ &\le L_6\|(-\Delta)^m(v_1-v_2)\|\|w_t\|+L_7\|(-\Delta)^m(v_1-v_2)\|\|(-\Delta)^m w\|\\ &\le L_8[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}}+L_9[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w(t))]^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \qquad (3.33)$$

From (3.29)-(3.33),we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{1}{2}\|w_t\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\frac{1}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2+\varepsilon(w_t,(-\Delta)^m w))\\ &+\|\nabla^m w_t\|^2-\varepsilon\|\nabla^m w_t\|^2+\varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2\\ &-L_3[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}}-L_0\rho(w(t))-\beta_0\rho(w(t))\\ &\le L_8[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}}+L_9[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w(t))]^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \qquad (3.34)$$

From (3.34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\|w_t\|^2+\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2+2\varepsilon(w_t,(-\Delta)^m w))\\ &\le (L_0+\beta_0)\rho(w(t))+(L_3+L_8+L_9)[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \qquad (3.35)$$

Where we set

$$\rho_2(w(t))=\|w_t\|^2+\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2+2\varepsilon(w_t,(-\Delta)^m w) \qquad (3.36)$$

$$2\varepsilon(w_t,(-\Delta)^m w)\ge 2\varepsilon)\|w_t\|^2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \qquad (3.37)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_2(w(t))\\ &=\|w_t\|^2+\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2+\varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2+2\varepsilon(w_t,(-\Delta)^m w)\\ &\ge \|w_t\|^2+\varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2+2\varepsilon(w_t,(-\Delta)^m w)\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq (1-2\varepsilon)\|w_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2$$

$$\geq \beta_1(\|w_t\|^2 + \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2) \tag{3.38}$$

Where $\beta_1 = \min\{1-2\varepsilon, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$

$$\frac{d\rho_2(w(t))}{dt}$$

$$\leq (L_0+\beta_0)\rho(w(t)) + (L_3+L_8+L_9)[\rho(v_1-v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.39}$$

$$\leq (\frac{L_0+\beta_0}{\beta_1})\rho_2(w(t)) + (L_3+L_8+L_9)\frac{1}{\beta_1}\{\rho_2(v_1(t)-v_2(t))\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{\rho_2(w(t))\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.40}$$

Where $\rho_2(w(0)) = 0$.

Therefore ,we use Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\rho_2(w(t)) \leq (L_3+L_8+L_9)^2 T^2 e^{\frac{(L_0+\beta_0)T}{\beta_1}} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \rho(v_1(t)-v_2(t)) \tag{3.41}$$

So,we have

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \rho(u_1(t)-u_2(t)) \leq C_{T,R} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \rho(v_1(t)-v_2(t)) \tag{3.42}$$

Where $C_{T,R} = (L_3+L_8+L_9)^2 T^2 e^{\frac{(L_0+\beta_0)T}{\beta_1}}$.

It is easy see re $C_{T,R} < 1$ for a small $T > 0$.

we finishes the proof of the second step.By applying the Banach contraction mapping theorem,the proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete.

IV. The Blow-up in Finite Time

In this section, we consider the blow-up of solution ,we assume that $\phi(s) := s^q$, $q \geq 0$, $h(u_t) = u_t$ such problems (1.1)-(1.3) became

$$u_{tt}(t) + (-\Delta)^m u_t(t) + \|\nabla^m u\|^{2q}(-\Delta)^m u(t) + u_t(t) = f(u(t)) \tag{4.1}$$

Next,we define the energy function of the solution u of (4.1)

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla^m u\|^{2(q+1)} - \int_\Omega G(u)dx, \tag{4.2}$$

where $G(s) = \int_0^u g(s)ds.$

Then,we have

$$E(t) = E(0) - \int_0^t (\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 + \|u_t\|^2)ds, \tag{4.3}$$

where $E(0) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla^m u_0\|^{2(q+1)} - \int_\Omega G(u_0)dx.$

Definition4.1 A solution $u(t)$ of (4.1) is called a blow-up solution if there exist a finite time T^* such that

$$\lim_{t\to T^*}\int_\Omega (|\nabla^m u|^2 + |u|^2)dx = +\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

For the next lemma,we define

$$Y(t)=Y(u(t))=\|u(t)\|^2+\int_0^t(\|u(s)\|^2+\|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2)ds \quad , \text{ for } t\geq 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Lemma 4.1 Assume (G_1), (G_3) hold, Let $\frac{2+4\beta}{q+1}-2\geq 0,$ then we have

$$Y^{''}(t)-4(\beta+1)\|u_t\|^2\geq(-4-8\beta)E(0)+(4+8\beta)[\int_0^t(\|u_t(s)\|+\|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|)ds]. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. From (4.5),we have

$$Y^{'}(t)=2\int_\Omega uu_t dx+\|u(t)\|^2+\|\nabla^m u\|^2, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$Y^{''}(t)=2\|u_t(t)\|^2-2\|\nabla^m u\|^{2q+2}+2\int_\Omega f(u)udx. \tag{4.8}$$

From above equation and the energy identity, we have

$$Y^{''}-4(\beta+1)\|u_t\|^2=(-4-8\beta)E(0)+(4+8\beta)\int_0^t(\|u_t\|^2+\|\nabla^m u\|^2)ds$$

$$+\int_\Omega(2f(u)u-(4+8\beta)G(u))dx+\{\frac{2+4\beta}{(q+1)}\|\nabla^m u\|^{2(q+1)}-2\|\nabla^m u\|^{2q+2}\}, \tag{4.9}$$

there form the assumption (G_3) ,we have

$$2\int_\Omega(f(u)u-(2+4\beta)G(u))dx+[\frac{2+4\beta}{q+1}\|\nabla^m u\|^{2(q+1)}-2\|\nabla^m u\|^{2q+2}]\geq 0. \tag{4.10}$$

So ,we obtain (4.6)

Now, we can consider there different cases on the sign of initial energy $E(0)$

If $E(0)<0$,from (4.6),we have

$$Y^{''}(t)\geq(-4-8\beta)E(0), \tag{4.11}$$

integration (4.11) over $[0,t]$,we have that

$$Y^{'}(t)\geq Y^{'}(0)-4(1+2\beta)E(0)t. \tag{4.12}$$

Thus ,we get $Y^{'}(t)>\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2$ for $t>t^*$,where

$$t^*=\max\{\frac{Y^{'}(0)-(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)}{4(1+\beta)E(0)},0\}. \tag{4.13}$$

If $E(0)=0$,from (4.6) we have

$$Y^{''}\geq 4(\beta+1)\|u_t\|^2+(4+\beta)\int_0^t(\|u_t\|^2+\|\nabla^m u\|^2)ds\geq 0 \quad t\geq 0 \tag{4.14}$$

Integration (4.9)over[0,1],we have

$$Y^{'}\geq Y^{'}(0) \tag{4.15}$$

Furthermore,if $Y^{'}(0)>\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2$, $i.e\int_\Omega u_0u_1dx>0$,so

$$Y^{'}(t)\geq Y^{'}(0)>\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+\|u_0\|^2, \quad t\geq 0. \tag{4.16}$$

If $E(0)>0$ we first note that

$$2(\int_0^t\int_\Omega uu_t dxdt+\int_0^t\int_\Omega \nabla^m u\nabla^m u_t dxds)=\|u\|^2+\|\nabla^m u\|^2-(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2). \tag{4.10}$$

By using Holder inequality and (4.10) we have

$$\|u(t)\|^2+\|\nabla^m u(t)\|^2\le\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+\int_0^t\|u(s)\|^2ds+\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|^2ds+\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2ds+\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2ds$$

so,from above ,we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Y'(t)&=2\int_\Omega uu_t dx+\|u(t)\|^2+\|\nabla^m u\|^2\le\|u(t)\|^2+\|u_t(t)\|^2+\|u(t)\|^2+\|\nabla^m u(t)\|^2\\&\le\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+\int_0^t\|u(s)\|^2ds+\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|^2ds+\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2ds+\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2ds\\&+\|u(t)\|^2+\|u_t(t)\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$Y'(t)\le Y(t)+\|u_t(t)\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+\|u_0\|^2+\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2ds+\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|^2ds \tag{4.13}$$

Form above inequality and (4.6),we obtain

$$Y''(t)-4(\beta+1)Y'(t)+4(1+\beta)Y(t)+Y_1\ge 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Where

$$Y_1=(4+8\beta)E(0)+4(1+\beta)(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2). \tag{4.18}$$

Setting

$$B(t)=Y(t)+\frac{Y_1}{4(1+\beta)}\quad t>0 \tag{4.19}$$

Then $B(t)$ satisfies Lemma 2.3 ,if

$$Y'(0)>r_2[Y(0)+\frac{Y_1}{4(1+\beta)}]+\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 \tag{4.20}$$

Then $Y'(0)>\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2$ for all $t>0$

Lemma 4.2Assume that (G_1) and (G_3) hold and that either one of the following conditions is satisfied

$E(0)<0$;

$E(0)=0$ and $\int_\Omega u_0u_1dx>0$;

$E(0)>0$ and (4.20) holds,then $Y'(0)>\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2$ for all $t>0$

where $t=t^*$ is given by (4.13) in case(1) ; $t_0=0$ in cases (2) and (3).

Proof .we can prove this Lemma4.2 by Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.1 Under the assumptions (G_1) and (G_3) ,and that either one of the following condition is satisfied:

$E(0)<0$;

$E(0)=0$ and $\int_\Omega u_0u_1dx>0$;

$0<E(0)<\dfrac{(\int_\Omega u_0u_1dx)^2}{2(T_1+1)(\|\nabla^m u\|^2+\|u_0\|^2)}$, and by (4.20) hold .

where T_1 to be chosen later

Then the solution u blow-up at finite T^* ,and T^* can be estimate by (4.35)-(4.38), respectively,according to the sign of $E(0)$.
Proof. Let

$$J(t)=(Y(t)-(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2))^{-\beta} \qquad t\in[0,T_1] \tag{4.21}$$

where T_1 is some certain constant which will be chosen later .Then we get

$$J^{'}(t)=-\beta J(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}(Y^{'}(t)+(T_1-t)(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)) \tag{4.22}$$

And

$$J^{''}(t)=-\beta J(t)^{1+\frac{2}{\beta}}V(t) \tag{4.23}$$

$$V(t)=Y^{''}(t)[Y(t)+(T_1-t)(\|u_0\|+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2]-(1+\beta)(Y^{'}(t)-(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)) \tag{4.24}$$

Next we denote

$$P=\|u(t)\|^2, \quad Q=\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2 ds, \quad R=\|u_t(t)\|^2, \quad S=\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2 ds \tag{4.25}$$

By (4.7) and (4.9), and Holder inequality we have

$$Y^{'}(t)=2\int_\Omega u(t)u_t(t)dx+\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+2\int_0^t\int_\Omega(u(s)u_t(s)+\nabla^m u(s)\nabla^m u_t(s))dxds$$

$$\leq\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+2\|u(t)\|\|u_t(t)\|+2(\lambda^{-2m}+1)(\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_0^t\|\nabla^m u\|^2 ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+2\sqrt{PR}+2(\lambda^{-2m}+1)\sqrt{QS}$$

$$\leq\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2+\gamma(\sqrt{PR}+\sqrt{QS}) \tag{4.26}$$

where $\gamma=2+2\lambda^{-2m}$
From (4.6),we have

$$Y^{''}(t)\geq(-4-8\beta)E(0)+(4+8\beta)(R+S) \tag{4.27}$$

From(4.24)-(4.27),we have

$$V(t)\geq[(-4-8\beta)E(0)+4(1+\beta)(R+S)](Y(t)+(T_1-t)(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2))$$

$$-(1+\beta)(Y^{'}(t)-(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2))$$

$$\geq(-4-8\beta)E(0)J(t)^{\frac{-1}{\beta}}+4(1+\beta)(R+S)(T_1-t)(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)$$

$$+4(1+\beta)[(R+S)(P+Q)-(\sqrt{PR}+\sqrt{QS})^2]$$

$$\geq(-4-8\beta)E(0)J(t)^{\frac{-1}{\beta}}. \qquad t\geq t_0, \tag{4.28}$$

from (4.23) we obtain

$$J^{''}(t)\leq\beta(4+8\beta)E(0)J(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}. \qquad t\geq t_0 \tag{4.29}$$

Now that by Lemma2.4 that $J^{'}(t)<0$ for $t>t_0$ multiplying (4.29) by $J^{'}(t)$ and integrating it from $t_0\to t$,we have

$$J^{'}(t)\geq\omega+\kappa J(t)^{2+\frac{1}{\beta}}, \qquad t\geq t_0, \tag{4.30}$$

Where

$$\omega=\beta^2 J(t_0)^{2+\frac{2}{\beta}}[Y^{'}(t_0)-(\|u_0\|^2+\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)-8E(0)J(t_0)^{\frac{-1}{\beta}}] \tag{4.31}$$

and $\kappa=8\beta^2 E(0).$ (4.33)

We observe that

$\omega > 0$ if and if only $$E(0) < \frac{(Y'(t_0) - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2))}{8[Y(t_0)] + (T_1 - t_0)(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)}$$

Then by Lemma4.2 ,there exists a finite time T^*,such that $\lim_{t \to T^*} J(t) = 0$ and the upper bounds of T^* are estimated ,respectively ,according to the sign of $E(0)$,we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to T^{*-}} (\|u(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t (\|u(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2)) = +\infty \tag{4.34}$$

The upper bounds of T^* are estimate as follows by Lemma4.2

In case (1),we have

$$T^* \le t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{J(t_0)'} \tag{4.35}$$

Furthermore if $J(t_0) < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{-\kappa}}\}$,then we obtain

$$T^* \le t_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} Ln \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{-\kappa}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{-\kappa}} - J(t_0)}. \tag{4.36}$$

In case (2),

$$T^* \le t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{J(t_0)'} \quad \text{or} \quad T^* \le t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{\omega}} \tag{4.37}$$

In case (3)

$$T^* \le \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{\omega}} \quad \text{or} \quad T^* \le t_0 + 2^{\frac{3\beta+1}{2\beta}} \frac{\beta c}{\sqrt{\omega}} \{1 - [1 + cJ(t_0)]^{\frac{-1}{2\beta}}\} \tag{4.38}$$

Where $c = (\frac{\kappa}{\omega})^{\frac{\beta}{2+\beta}}$.note that in case(1), $t_0 = t^*$ is given in (4.13),and in case(2) and case(3) $t_0 = 0$

Remark4.1 that in we observe that the choice of T_1 in (4.21) is feasible under the same condition as in[15].

REFERENCE

[1]M.Aassila, Global existence of solutions to a wave equation with damping and source terms.Differential Integral Equations 14(2001) 1301-1314.

[2] A.Benaissa.SA.Messaoudi,Blow up of solutions for Kirchhoff equation q-Laplacian type with nonlinear disspiation.Colloq.Math.94(2002) 103-109.

[3]K.ono,existence,dcay.and blow-up of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear Kirchhoff strings,J.Differential Equations 137(1997) 273-301.

[4]K.Ono,Global existence and decay properties of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear dissipative Kirchhoff strings, Funkcial. Ekvac.40(1997) 255-270.

[5]M. Ohta, Blow-up of solution of dissipative nonlinear wave equations,Hokkaido Math.J. 26 (1997) 115-124.

[6]H.A.Levine,S.R.Park,J.Serrin,Global existence and global nonexistence of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear damped wave equation.J.Math.Anal.Appl,228(1998) 181-205.

[7]H.R.Crippa, On local solutions of some mildly degenerate hyperbolic equations, Nonlinear Anal.21(1993)565-574.

[8] Y.Yamada,Some nonlinear degenerate wave equations, Nonlinear Anal. 11(1987) 1155-1168.

[9] K.Ono, On Global Solution and Blow-up Solutions of Nonlinear Kirchhoff Strings with Nonlinear Dissipation.J.Math.Anal.Appl,216(1997) 321-342.

[10] Yaojun Ye, Global existence and energy decay estimate of solutions for a higher-order Kirchhoff type equation with damping and source term, J.Nonlinear Analysis:Real World Applications 14(2013) 2059-2067.

[11] Takeshi Tanigguchi, Existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions to weakliy damped wave equation of Kirchhoff type with nonlinear damping and source terms,J.Math.Anal. Appl. 361(2010) 566 -578.

[12] Gongwei Liu, On global solution, energy decay and blow-up for 2-D Kirchhoff equation with exponential terms.J.Boundary Value Problem .2014(1):1-18.

[13] Guoguang Lin.Nonlinear evolution equation, Yunnan University press,2011.12.

[14] Li,M,R and Tsai, L.Y.Existence and Nonexistence of Global Solutions of some System of Semilinear Wave Equations.Nonlinear Analysis,54(2003),1397-1415.

[15] Li,F.C.Global Existence and Blow-up of Solutions for a Higher-Order Kirchhoff-type Equation with Nonlinear Dissipation.Appiled Mathematics Letters,17(2004),1409-1414